arXiv:1604.05890v2 [hep-th] 16 Nov 2016

3-Leibniz bialgebra in $N = 6$ Chern-Simons gauge theories, multiple M2 to D2 branes and vice versa

M. Aali-Javanangrouh[a] *, A. Rezaei-Aghdam [a] †

[a] *Department of Physics, Faculty of science, Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University*
53714-161, Tabriz, Iran

November 17, 2016

Abstract

Using the concept of 3-Leibniz bialgebra, we construct Bagger-Lambert (BL) model for $N = 6$ multiple M2-brane on Manin triple of special 3-Leibniz bialgebra. Consequently, according to the correspondence of these 3-Leibniz bialgebras with Lie bialgebras, we reduce this model to an N = (4,4) WZW model (D2-brane) equipped with one 2-cocycle in its Lie bialgebra structure. Moreover, the Basu-Harvey equation which is found by considering boundary conditions for BL model containing Leibniz bialgebra structure, is reduced to Nahm equation and vice versa using this correspondence. In this way, M2-brane and its boundary conditions can be constructed from D2-brane and the related boundary conditions using the correspondence of 3-Leibniz bialgebra and Lie bialgebra, and vice versa.

Keywords: String theory, M-theory, Leibniz bialgebra, 3-Leibniz bialgebra, Manin triple.

1 Introduction

Unification of forces is a very important issue in high energy physics. M-theory has been suggested for this purpose which can be obtained by developing the string theory [1]. M-theory contains two types of branes, M2-brane and M5-brane [2]. There was a great success [3] in description of single M2-brane. However, according to the existence of a self dual field in the world volume of M5-brane, and in spite of efforts, this theory is still unknown [4]. It seems that some tricks may give us new information. One trick can be considering a boundary conditions such as a M2-brane ending to a M5-brane [5]. After attempts to find a Lagrangian describing multiple M2-brane, by different people, finally Bagger and Lambert [6–8] and Gustavsson [9] found one successful idea. Although there was just one example [8] describing only two membranes [10], the case was considered by many authors [11]. Being inspired by the Basu-Harvey article [12] then groping supersymmetry transformations of D2-brane [13, 14], Bagger and Lambert expressed $N = 8$ supersymmetry transformations of M2-brane and obtained a series of equations of motion according to supersymmetric transformations to be closure. They wrote a Lagrangian from which the equations of motion could be derived. The Lagrangian was interesting but there were limitations as mentioned, so they attempted to find one which could explain multiple M2-brane. Using complex fields, Aharony, Berman, Jeferson and Maldacena (ABJM) [15] found a Lagrangian for arbitrary number of membranes and reduced the supersymmetry to $N = 6$. Bagger and Lambert followed this idea and described their own theory using complex scalar fields for arbitrary number of membranes [16], in this way they have to use structure constants of 3-Lie algebra that is not totally antisymmetric. The relationship between those works has been described in [17].

The world volume of the membrane in M-theory and the one related to D-branes of string theory can be related to each other by using the Higgs mechanism in $N = 8$ BLG model [18] which can be extended to $N = 6$ BL model [19]. In the case of N=6 one can attribute vacuum expectation value (VEV) to real part of one of the complex scalar fields as it is done in [19]. However, this should be done with gauge fields in U(N) group instead of SU(N). Boundary conditions for Lorentzian BL model [20–22] results in obtaining Basu-Harvey

*e-mail: aali@azaruniv.edu
†Corresponding author. e-mail:rezaei-a@azaruniv.edu

equation [12] (as a boundary conditions for M2-brane ending to M5-brane) which in our case will be used to write down Nahm-equation [23] (as boundary conditions for D1-string ending D3-brane), this work is not a simple generalization of $N = 6$ [21]. It seems to be impossible to do the same in inverse direction. In this work, correspondence between 3-Leibniz bialgebra [24] and Lie bialgebra [25] is used to show that this is possible.

Blokh suggested the notion of Leibniz algebra [26] in 1965; which was rediscovered by J. L. Loday [27] with a non-antisymmetric bilinear bracket. Leibniz bialgebra [28] and 3-Leibniz bialgebra [24] have been introduced recently by using cohomology of Leibniz and 3-Leibniz algebra. We think that the role of 3-Leibniz bialgebra can be important in M-theory if for example we express $N = 6$ BL model in the 3-Leibniz bialgebra structure. We further show that there is relation between these models and WZW models with Lie bialgebra structure equipped with one 2-cocycle [29]. As in the previous work [30] we show the relation between Nahm and Basu-Harvey equations and vice versa by considering its boundary conditions and in previous work [31] we showed that knowing the WZW models and its algebraic structure able us to know $N = 8$ BLG model and its algebraic structure, i.e. one can construct a relation between string theory and M-theory directly using 3-Lie bialgebra [31]. In this work using methods applied in Ref. [31] we will try to extend the results to $N = 6$ BL model by using the 3-Leibniz bialgebra [24]. In this case, our results will be useful since it makes relation between multiple M2-brane and D2-brane which in order to obtain a deep view about M-theory one must study multiple M2-branes.

The outline of this paper is as follows. We review $N = 6$ BL model in section 2 and consider boundary conditions for it in section 3. We will review 3-Leibniz bialgebra and give an special example of 3-Leibniz algebra and show the existence of a relation between 3-Leibniz bialgebra and Lie bialgebra in section 4. Similar with that in Ref. [30, 31] we construct BL model by 3-Leibniz bialgebra and show that BL model turns into WZW model with Lie bialgebra structure in section 5 and also we study the boundary conditions of the theory that mentioned in section 2 and 3 in this section.

2 N=6 BL model

Here for self containing of the paper we review the $N = 6$ BL model [16]. Dynamics of M2-brane in M-theory has been studied by maximal supersymmetric 3-dimensional Chern-Simon model [6–9]. Bagger and Lambert extended their model to arbitrary number of membranes and $N = 6$ [16] using *special 3-Lie algebras*[1] and complex scalar fields which have been deduced from the ABJM model [15]. In addition to the fundamental difference between ABJM and BL theories there is a conventional relation between them. [17]. BL formulation have four complex scalar fields Z^A (8 real field) while in ABJM model complex scalar fields are split into two pairs A_a and B_a with $a = 1, 2$, where $(Z^1 = A_1, Z^2 = A_2, Z^3 = \bar{B}_1, Z^4 = \bar{B}_2)$ which must be considered complex conjugates of each other $\bar{Z}_{A\bar{a}} = (Z^A_a)^*$ because of turning SO(8) R-symmetry into $SU(4) \times U(1)$. One must have for the fermion fields and their complex conjugates $\psi_{Aa}, \psi_{A\bar{a}} = (\psi_{Aa})^*$ where the raised indice express as the fields in the 4 of SU(4) and a lowered indice convert in the $\bar{4}$. An index is raised or lowered by complex conjugation and supersymmetry generators ϵ_{AB} that are in the 6 of SU(4) with zero charge of U(1) and must satisfy the relation as $\epsilon^{AB} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{ABCD}\epsilon_{CD}$. The covariant derivative is $D_\mu Z^A_d = \partial_\mu Z^A_d - \tilde{A}_\mu{}^c{}_d Z^A_c, D_\mu \bar{Z}_{Ad} = \partial_\mu \bar{Z}_{Ad} + \tilde{A}_\mu{}^c{}_d \bar{Z}_{Ac}$ and the supersymmetry transformations are as follows:

$$\delta Z^A_d = i\bar{\epsilon}^{AB}\psi_{Bd} \tag{1}$$

$$\delta\psi_{Bd} = \gamma^\mu D_\mu Z^A_d \epsilon_{AB} + f^{ab\bar{c}}_d Z^C_a Z^A_b \bar{Z}_{C\bar{c}}\epsilon_{AB} + f^{ab\bar{c}}_d Z^C_a Z^D_b \bar{Z}_{B\bar{c}}\epsilon_{CD} \tag{2}$$

$$\delta\tilde{A}^c_{\mu d} = -i\bar{\epsilon}_{AB}\gamma_\mu Z^A_a \psi^B_{\bar{b}} f^{ca\bar{b}}_d + i\bar{\epsilon}^{AB}\gamma_\mu \bar{Z}_{A\bar{b}}\psi_{Ba} f^{ca\bar{b}}_d, \tag{3}$$

with gauge transformations as:

$$\delta_\Lambda Z^A_d = \Lambda_{\bar{c}b} f^{ab\bar{c}}_d Z^A_a \tag{4}$$

and

$$\tilde{A}^c_{\mu d} = f^{cb\bar{a}}{}_d A_{\mu\bar{a}b} \tag{5}$$

where can be showed by $\delta Z^A = MZ^A - Z^A N$ such that M, N are $n \times n$ and $m \times m$ matrix respectively i.e. gauge group is $U(N) \times U(M)$ (details in Ref. [16]). Closure of the supersymmetric transformations terminate

[1] We introduced it as Leibniz algebra in Ref. [24].

the following equations of motions:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi_{Cd} + f^{abc}{}_d \psi_{Ca} Z_b^D \bar{Z}_{Dc} - 2 f^{abc}{}_d \psi_{Da} Z_b^D \bar{Z}_{Cc} - \epsilon_{CDEF} f^{abc}{}_d \psi_c^D Z_a^E Z_b^F \quad (6) \\ 0 &= \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}{}^c{}_d + \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} (D^\lambda Z_a^A) \bar{Z}_{Ab} - Z_a^A (D^\lambda \bar{Z}_{Ab}) - i \bar{\psi}_b^A \gamma^\lambda \psi_{Aa} f^{cab}{}_d \ , \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

and equation of motion of the scalar fields achieved by taking the supersymetric variation of (6). The invariant Lagrangian with respect to this equations can be expressed as follows [16]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= -D^\mu \bar{Z}_A^a D_\mu Z_a^A - i \bar{\psi}^{Aa} \gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi_{Aa} - V + \mathcal{L}_{CS} \\ &- i f^{ab\bar{c}\bar{d}} \bar{\psi}_{\bar{d}}^A \psi_{Aa} Z_b^B \bar{Z}_{B\bar{c}} + 2i f^{ab\bar{c}\bar{d}} \bar{\psi}_{\bar{d}}^A \psi_{Ba} Z_b^B \bar{Z}_{A\bar{c}} \\ &+ \frac{i}{2} \varepsilon_{ABCD} f^{ab\bar{c}\bar{d}} \bar{\psi}_{\bar{d}}^A \psi_{\bar{c}}^B Z_a^C Z_b^D - \frac{i}{2} \varepsilon^{ABCD} f^{cd\bar{a}\bar{b}} \bar{\psi}_{Ac} \psi_{Bd} \bar{Z}_{C\bar{a}} \bar{Z}_{D\bar{b}} \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$V = \frac{2}{3} \Upsilon_{Bd}^{CD} \bar{\Upsilon}_{CD}^{Bd}, \quad (9)$$

where

$$\Upsilon_{Bd}^{CD} = f_d^{ab\bar{c}} Z_a^C Z_b^D \bar{Z}_{B\bar{c}} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_B^C f_d^{ab\bar{c}} Z_a^E Z_b^D \bar{Z}_{E\bar{c}} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_B^D f_d^{ab\bar{c}} Z_a^E Z_b^C \bar{Z}_{E\bar{c}}. \quad (10)$$

and Chern-Simon term have the following form:

$$\mathcal{L}_{CS} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \left(f^{ab\bar{c}\bar{d}} A_{\mu\bar{c}b} \partial_\nu A_{\lambda\bar{d}a} + \frac{2}{3} f_g^{ac\bar{d}} f^{ge\bar{b}f\bar{b}} A_{\mu\bar{b}a} A_{\nu\bar{d}c} A_{\lambda\bar{b}fe} \right), \quad (11)$$

where $f_g^{ac\bar{d}}$ is the structure constants of the 3-Leibniz algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with the following nonantisymmetric 3-bracket:

$$[T^a, T^b; T^{\bar{c}}] = f^{ab\bar{c}}{}_d T^d, \qquad a, b, c, d = 1, ..., dim\mathcal{A}, \quad (12)$$

such that we have following fundamental identity (see for example [32]):

$$[[T^a, T^b; T^{\bar{c}}], T^d; T^{\bar{e}}] = [[T^a, T^d; T^{\bar{e}}], T^b; T^{\bar{c}}] + [T^a, [T^b, T^d; T^{\bar{e}}]; T^{\bar{c}}] + [T^a, T^b; [T^{\bar{c}}, T^d; T^{\bar{e}}]], \quad (13)$$

where it can be redefined by the structure constant of $\mathcal{A}$ in the following form:

$$f^{ab\bar{c}}{}_f f^{fd\bar{e}}{}_g - f^{ad\bar{e}}{}_f f^{fb\bar{c}}{}_g - f^{bd\bar{e}}{}_f f^{af\bar{c}}{}_g - f^{\bar{c}d\bar{e}}{}_f f^{abf}{}_g = 0. \quad (14)$$

3 3-Leibniz bialgebra

In this section, we review the definition of 3-Leibniz bialgebra that have been given recently in [24].

Definition: [25] Lie bialgebra deals with a map $\delta : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{G}$ such that:
a) δ is a one-cocycle, i.e.:

$$\delta([T^i, T^j]) = ad^{(2)}{}_{T^j} \delta(T^i) - ad^{(2)}{}_{T^i} \delta(T^j), \quad (15)$$

where

$$ad^{(2)}{}_{T^j} = ad_{T^j} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes ad_{T^j}, \quad (16)$$

$\mathcal{G}$ is a Lie algebra and $\{T^i\}$s are bases for it,
b) ${}^t\delta : \mathcal{G}^* \otimes \mathcal{G}^* \to \mathcal{G}^*$ operate as a cocommutator on dual space of $\mathcal{G}$ i.e. $\mathcal{G}^*$ with the following relation:

$$(\tilde{T}_i \otimes \tilde{T}_j, \delta(T^k)) = ({}^t\delta(\tilde{T}_i \otimes \tilde{T}_j), T^k) = ([\tilde{T}_i, \tilde{T}_j], T^k), \quad (17)$$

such that $\{\tilde{T}_i\}$ are bases of $\mathcal{G}^*$. $\mathcal{G}^*$ is a Lie algebra and there is a pairing between $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$ shown as $(,)$.

One can obtain following identities for Lie bialgebra:

$$f^{ij}{}_k f^{kl}{}_m - f^{ik}{}_m f^{jl}{}_k + f^{jk}{}_m f^{il}{}_k = 0, \quad (18)$$

$$\tilde{f}_{ij}{}^k \tilde{f}_{kl}{}^m - \tilde{f}_{ik}{}^m \tilde{f}_{jl}{}^k + \tilde{f}_{jk}{}^m \tilde{f}_{il}{}^k = 0, \quad (19)$$

$$-f^{ijk} \tilde{f}_{lm}{}^k + f^{ik}{}_l \tilde{f}_{km}{}^j - f^{jk}{}_m \tilde{f}_{lk}{}^i - f^{jk}{}_l \tilde{f}_{km}{}^i + f^{ik}{}_m \tilde{f}_{lk}{}^j = 0, \quad (20)$$

which are Jacobi identity of $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$ and mix Jacobi identity, respectively.

Definition: [24] A 3-Leibniz algebra $\mathcal{A}$ equipped with a linear co commutator $\delta : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ defines a *3-Leibniz bialgebra* if [24]:

a) δ is a 1-cocycle of $\mathcal{A}$ and get values on $\otimes^3\mathcal{A}$, i.e:

$$\delta([T^a, T^b; T^c]) \quad = \quad ad^{(3)}{}_{T^b \otimes T^c} \delta(T^a), \tag{21}$$

where $\delta(T^i) = \widetilde{f}^{lmn}{}_i T_l \otimes T_m \otimes T_n$ and

$$ad^{(3)}{}_{T^b \otimes T^c} \quad = \quad ad_{T^b \otimes T^c} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes ad_{T^b \otimes T^c} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes ad_{T^b \otimes T^c}, \tag{22}$$

with $\{T^a\}$s are bases of 3-Leibniz algebra $\mathcal{A}$[2].

b) 3-Leibniz bracket can be defined as dual map ${}^t\delta : \otimes^3\mathcal{A}^* \to \mathcal{A}^*$ and it is a commutator on $\mathcal{G}^*$

$$(\tilde{T}_i \otimes \tilde{T}_k \otimes T_m, \delta(T^i)) = (\delta^t(\tilde{T}_j \otimes \tilde{T}_k \otimes \tilde{T}_m), T^i) = \tilde{f}^i_{jkm} \tag{23}$$

where $\{\tilde{T}_a\}$ is the base for the space $\mathcal{A}^*$ and there is a natural pairing between $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}^*$. Furthermore from this and (21) we have the following relation between the structure constants [24]:

$$f^{abc}{}_g \tilde{f}_{def}{}^g = f^{gbc}{}_f \tilde{f}_{deg}{}^a + f^{gbc}{}_e \tilde{f}_{dfg}{}^a - f^{gbc}{}_d \tilde{f}_{efg}{}^a \tag{24}$$

3.1 An example

Generally, there is not Manin triple for 3-Leibniz bialgebras, but we showed that there is Manin triple for special example of 3-Leibniz bialgebras.

Proposition: Consider an special example of 3-Leibniz algebra $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{G}$ in relation with Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$. This 3-Leibniz algebras $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{G}$ (mentioned in [18] for a first time) have commutation relations as follows[3]:

$$[T^-, T^a; T^b] = 0, \qquad [T^+, T^i; T^j] = f^{ij}{}_k T^k, \qquad [T^i, T^j; T^k] = -f^{ijk} T^-, \tag{25}$$

where $\{T^i\}$s and $f^{ij}{}_k$ are basis and structure constant of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ respectively with $[T^i, T^j] = f^{ij}{}_k T^k$ and fundamental identity for $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{G}$ has the following form:

$$f^{abc}{}_f f^{fde}{}_g - f^{ade}{}_f f^{fbc}{}_g - f^{bde}{}_f f^{afc}{}_g - f^{cde}{}_f f^{abf}{}_g = 0, \tag{26}$$

where $a, b = i, , -, +$.

Now, we consider 3-Leibniz algebra structure on $\mathcal{A}^*_{\mathcal{G}^*}$:

$$[\tilde{T}_-, \tilde{T}_a; \tilde{T}_b] = 0, \qquad [\tilde{T}_+, \tilde{T}_i; \tilde{T}_j] = \tilde{f}_{ij}{}^k \tilde{T}_k, \qquad [\tilde{T}_i, \tilde{T}_j; \tilde{T}_k] = -\tilde{f}_{ijk} T_-, \tag{27}$$

where $\tilde{T}_i$ are bases for $\mathcal{G}^*$ and $[\tilde{T}_i, \tilde{T}_j] = \tilde{f}_{ij}{}^k \tilde{T}_k$ with $i, j, k = 1, 2, ..., dim\mathcal{G}^*$, where $\mathcal{G}^*$ is the dual Lie algebra of $\mathcal{G}$, and the fundamental identity for this 3-Leibniz algebra which is obtained as:

$$\tilde{f}_{abc}{}^f \tilde{f}_{fde}{}^g - \tilde{f}_{ade}{}^f \tilde{f}_{fbc}{}^g - \tilde{f}_{bde}{}^f \tilde{f}_{afc}{}^g - \tilde{f}_{cde}{}^f \tilde{f}_{abf}{}^g = 0, \tag{28}$$

is equivalent to the Jacobi identity of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}^*$. By considering the following inner product:

$$(T^i, \tilde{T}_j) = \delta^i_j, \qquad (T^i, T^j) = (\tilde{T}_i, \tilde{T}_j) = 0,$$

one can show that the space $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{G} \oplus \mathcal{A}^*_{\mathcal{G}^*}$ with the commutation relations (25,27) and countinuing that, is a 3-Leibniz algebra if $(\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A}^*_{\mathcal{G}^*})$ is a 3-Leibniz bialgebra[4] and these are equivalent if $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^*)$ construct a Lie bialgebra

$$\begin{aligned} [T^+, \tilde{T}_i; \tilde{T}_j] \quad &= \quad f_{ijk} T^i, \quad [T^+, \tilde{T}_k; T^j] = -f^{ij}{}_k \tilde{T}^i, \quad [T^k, \tilde{T}_i; \tilde{T}_j] = -\tilde{f}_{ij}{}^k T^-, \\ [\tilde{T}_+, T^k; \tilde{T}_j] \quad &= \quad -\tilde{f}_{ij}{}^k T^i, \quad [\tilde{T}_+, T^j; T^k] = f^{ijk} \tilde{T}_i, \quad [\tilde{T}_k, T^i; T^j] = -f^{ij}{}_k \tilde{T}_-, \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

[2] Note that 3-Leibniz algebra can be right or left and according to left or right 3-Leibniz algebra one can differently characterize the actions of $\mathcal{A}$ on $(\otimes)^3\mathcal{A}$. We use only the right Leibniz algebra in this work, for details see Ref. [24]

[3] Note that for this 3-Leibniz algebra there is an antisymeticity only between elements T^i and the commutations such as $[T^\pm, T^A, T^B]$ are not fully antisymetric.

[4] Note that this is not direct sum of 3-Lie algebras.

these are not exactly communication relations that showed in [31]. If we take $, a \equiv \tilde{+}, d \equiv \tilde{+}$ and other indice from algebra then we will have:

$$f_{ijk}\tilde{f}^{lmk} + \tilde{f}^{kl}{}_{i} f_{kj}{}^{m} + \tilde{f}^{km}{}_{i} f_{kj}{}^{l} = 0, \tag{30}$$

if $d \equiv -, c \equiv -$

$$f_{ki}{}^{m}\tilde{f}^{lk}{}_{j} = 0, \tag{31}$$

and we take $d \equiv \tilde{-}, c \equiv \tilde{-}$ will have

$$f_{ki}{}^{m}\tilde{f}^{lk}{}_{j} = 0. \tag{32}$$

Now, summation of (30),(31),(32) gives us (20) which is mix Jacobi for Lie bialgebra (see appendix). So $(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{A}^{*}_{\mathcal{G}^{*}})$ **is a 3-Leibniz bialgebra if and only if** $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^{*})$ **be a Lie bialgebra, i.e.** $\mathcal{A}^{*} = \mathcal{A}^{*}_{\mathcal{G}^{*}}$**.** One can consider the Manin triple with $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{A}^{*}{}_{\mathcal{G}^{*}})$ for this example.

4 BL model on Manin of 3-Leibniz algebras (M2 $\leftrightarrow$ D2)

In the previous section, we have studied the Manin triple $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{G}^{*}})$ and in the special case showed correspondence between the 3-Leibniz bialgebra $(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{G}^{*}})$ and Lie bialgebra $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^{*})$[5]. In this section, we apply the 3-Leibniz algebra $(\mathcal{D})$ in the $N = 6$ BL model, i.e. we construct BL Lagrangian on the Manin triple which is $(4 + 2dim\mathcal{G})$ dimensional 3-Leibniz algebra and show its relation with WZW model with bialgebraic structure which equipped to one 2-cocycle. Note that, all provided issues and conditions in the section 2 for BL models are generalizable to a Manin triple as a 3-Leibniz algebra i.e. the shape of equations of motions, Lagrangian and ... are not modified. So consider the Lagrangian as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} &= -D^{\mu}\bar{Z}^{a}_{A} D_{\mu} Z^{A}_{a} - i\bar{\psi}^{Aa}\gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu}\psi_{Aa} - V + \mathcal{L}_{CS} \\
&- iF^{ab\bar{c}\bar{d}}\bar{\psi}^{A}_{\bar{d}}\psi_{Aa} Z^{B}_{b}\bar{Z}_{B\bar{c}} + 2iF^{ab\bar{c}\bar{d}}\bar{\psi}^{A}_{\bar{d}}\psi_{Ba} Z^{B}_{b}\bar{Z}_{A\bar{c}} \\
&+ \frac{i}{2}\varepsilon_{ABCD}F^{ab\bar{c}\bar{d}}\bar{\psi}^{A}_{\bar{d}}\psi^{B}_{\bar{c}} Z^{C}_{a} Z^{D}_{b} - \frac{i}{2}\varepsilon^{ABCD}F^{cd\bar{a}\bar{b}}\bar{\psi}_{Ac}\psi_{Bd}\bar{Z}_{C\bar{a}}\bar{Z}_{D\bar{b}}
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

such that, structure constants have the following relations obtained from (29):

$$F^{-AB}{}_{C} = 0, \qquad F^{\tilde{-}AB}{}_{C} = 0, \tag{34}$$

and

$$F^{ABC}{}_{+} = 0, \qquad F^{ABC}{}_{\tilde{+}} = 0, \tag{35}$$

if we take T^{A} as a basis for the Manin triple with $A = i$, $T^{i} = T^{i}$ and $A = i + dim\mathcal{G} + 2$, $T^{i+dim\mathcal{G}+2} = \tilde{T}^{i}$ and $A = (-) + dim\mathcal{G} + 2$, $T^{(-)+dim\mathcal{G}+2} = T^{\tilde{-}}$ and $A = (+) + dim\mathcal{G} + 2$, $T^{\tilde{+}}$ then the commutation relations have the following forms:

$$\begin{aligned}
[T^{+}, T^{i}; T^{j}] &= F^{+ij}{}_{k}T^{k}, \quad [T^{i}, T^{j}; T^{k}] = -F^{ijk}{}_{-}T^{-}, \quad [T^{\tilde{+}}, T^{\tilde{i}}; T^{\tilde{j}}] = F^{\tilde{+}\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}{}_{\tilde{k}}T^{\tilde{k}}, \quad [T^{\tilde{i}}, T^{\tilde{j}}; T^{\tilde{k}}] = F^{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}\tilde{k}}{}_{\tilde{-}}T^{\tilde{-}}, \\
[T^{+}, T^{\tilde{i}}; T^{j}] &= F^{+\tilde{i}j}{}_{\tilde{k}}T^{\tilde{k}}, \quad [T^{+}, T^{\tilde{i}}; T^{\tilde{j}}] = F^{+\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}{}_{k}T^{k}, \quad [T^{i}, T^{\tilde{j}}; T^{k}] = F^{i\tilde{j}k}{}_{\tilde{-}}T^{\tilde{-}}, \quad [T^{i}, T^{\tilde{j}}; T^{\tilde{k}}] = F^{i\tilde{j}\tilde{k}}{}_{\tilde{-}}T^{-}, \\
[T^{\tilde{+}}, T^{i}; T^{\tilde{j}}] &= F^{\tilde{+}i\tilde{j}}{}_{k}T^{k}, \quad [T^{\tilde{+}}, T^{i}; T^{j}] = F^{\tilde{+}ij}{}_{\tilde{k}}T^{\tilde{k}},
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

where in terms of structure constants we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
F^{+ij}{}_{k} = f^{ij}{}_{k}, \quad F^{ijk}{}_{-} = -f^{ijk}, \quad F^{\tilde{+}\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}{}_{\tilde{k}} = \tilde{f}_{ij}{}^{k}, \quad F^{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}\tilde{k}}{}_{\tilde{-}} = -\tilde{f}_{ijk}, \quad F^{+\tilde{i}j}{}_{\tilde{k}} = -f^{kj}{}_{i}, \\
F^{+\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}{}_{k} = \tilde{f}_{kij}, \quad F^{i\tilde{j}k}{}_{\tilde{-}} = -f^{ik}{}_{j}. \quad F^{i\tilde{j}\tilde{k}}{}_{\tilde{-}} = -\tilde{f}_{jk}{}^{i}, \quad F^{\tilde{+}i\tilde{j}}{}_{k} = -\tilde{f}_{kj}{}^{i}, \quad F^{\tilde{+}ij}{}_{\tilde{k}} = f^{kij}
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

and the terms of Lagrangian turn into the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{\mu}Z^{A}_{d} D^{\mu}\bar{Z}^{d}_{A} &= C^{i}_{\mu}C^{\mu}_{i}\bar{Z}^{+}_{A}Z^{A}_{+} + 4C^{i}_{\mu}f_{jki}A^{k}_{\mu}\bar{Z}^{j}_{A}Z^{A}_{+} + 4f^{ijk}f_{lmk}A_{\mu j}A^{\mu m}\bar{Z}^{+}_{A}Z^{A}_{i} + C^{i}_{\mu}C^{\mu}_{j}\bar{Z}^{j}Z^{A}_{i} \\
&+ C^{'i}_{\mu}C^{'\mu}_{i}\bar{Z}^{\tilde{+}}_{A}Z^{A}_{\tilde{+}} + 4C^{'i}_{\mu}f_{jki}A^{'k}_{\mu}\bar{Z}^{\tilde{j}}_{A}Z^{A}_{\tilde{+}} + 4f^{ijk}f_{lmk}A^{'}_{\mu j}A^{'\mu m}\bar{Z}^{\tilde{+}}_{A}Z^{A}_{\tilde{i}} + C^{'i}_{\mu}C^{'\mu}_{j}\bar{Z}^{\tilde{j}}Z^{A}_{\tilde{i}} + ...
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

[5] In general the Manin triple $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{G}^{*}})$ does not exist and Lie bialgebra $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^{*})$ have no correspondence with $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{*})$, but for this special example $\mathcal{D}$ where is a 3-Leibniz algebra there is Manin triple $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{G}^{*}})$ and we have this correspondence.

Furthermore, the first term of CS term in BLG action (33) turns into the following forms:

$$\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{ABCD}A_{\mu AB}\partial_\nu A_{\lambda CD} = 2\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{BCD}A_{\mu BC}\partial_\nu A_{\lambda D} + 2\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mathcal{BCD}}A_{\mu\mathcal{BC}}\partial_\nu A_{\lambda\mathcal{D}} \tag{39}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{BCD}A_{\mu B}\partial_\nu A_{\lambda CD} = \frac{1}{3}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f^{jk}{}_i A^i_\mu\partial_\nu A_{\lambda jk} + \frac{2}{3}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f^{ij}{}_k A_{\mu i}\partial_\nu A^k_{\lambda j} + \frac{2}{3}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f^{ki}{}_j A_{\mu i}\partial_\nu A^{\tilde{k}}_{\lambda\tilde{j}} \\
+ \;& \frac{2}{3}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f^{ij}{}_k A^{\tilde{i}}_\mu\partial_\nu A_{\lambda j\tilde{k}} + \frac{2}{3}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f^{jk}{}_i A^{\tilde{i}}_\mu\partial_\nu A^{\tilde{k}}_{\lambda j} + \frac{1}{3}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\tilde{f}_{jk}{}^i A^{\tilde{i}}_\mu\partial_\nu A_{\lambda\tilde{j}\tilde{k}} + \frac{2}{3}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\tilde{f}_{ik}{}^j A^i_\mu\partial_\nu A_{\lambda j\tilde{k}} \\
+ \;& \frac{2}{3}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\tilde{f}_{jk}{}^i A^i_\mu\partial_\nu A^j_{\lambda\tilde{k}} + \frac{2}{3}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\tilde{f}_{k\tilde{i}}{}^j A_{\mu\tilde{i}}\partial_\nu A^k_{\lambda j} + \frac{2}{3}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\tilde{f}_{ij}{}^k A_{\mu\tilde{i}}\partial_\nu A^{\tilde{k}}_{\lambda\tilde{j}}
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mathcal{BCD}}A_{\mu\mathcal{B}}\partial_\nu A_{\lambda\mathcal{CD}} &= -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f^{kj}{}_i A_{\mu j\tilde{i}}\partial_\nu A^{\tilde{k}}_\lambda - \frac{1}{3}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f^{jk}{}_i A^{\tilde{j}}_{\mu\tilde{i}}\partial_\nu A_{\lambda k} - \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\tilde{f}_{jik}A^j_{\mu\tilde{i}}\partial_\nu A_{\lambda\tilde{k}} \\
&+ \frac{5}{6}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\tilde{f}_{ijk}A_{\mu\tilde{j}\tilde{i}}\partial_\nu A^k_\lambda - \frac{5}{6}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f^{ijk}A_{\mu ji}\partial_\nu A^{\tilde{k}}_\lambda - \frac{5}{6}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f^{ikj}A^{\tilde{j}}_{\mu i}\partial_\nu A_{\lambda k} \\
&+ \frac{1}{3}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\tilde{f}_{jk}{}^i A^j_{\mu i}\partial_\nu A_{\lambda\tilde{k}} - \frac{5}{6}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\tilde{f}_{ij}{}^k A_{\mu\tilde{j}\tilde{i}}\partial_\nu A^{\tilde{k}}_\lambda + \frac{5}{6}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\tilde{f}_{ji}{}^k A^j_\mu\partial_\nu A_{\lambda\tilde{i}k} \\
&- \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\tilde{f}_{ki}{}^j A_{\mu j}\partial_\nu A^k_{\lambda\tilde{i}} + \frac{2}{3}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f^{jk}{}_i A_{\mu\tilde{i}}\partial_\nu A^{\tilde{k}}_{\lambda j}
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

and the second term of CS term in BL action

$$\frac{1}{3}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{AEF}{}_G\,F^{BCDG}\,A_{\mu AB}A_{\nu CD}A_{\lambda EF} = -2\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\,F^{ABC}F^{EF}{}_A A_{\mu EF}A_{\nu B}A_{\lambda C} - 2\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\,F^{\mathcal{ABC}}F^{\mathcal{EF}}{}_{\mathcal{A}}A_{\mu\mathcal{EF}}A_{\nu\mathcal{B}}A_{\lambda\mathcal{C}} \tag{42}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{ABC}F^{EF}{}_A A_{\mu EF}A_{\nu B}A_{\lambda C} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f^{jk}{}_i f^{il}{}_m A_{\mu jk}A_{\nu l}A^m_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f^{ij}{}_k f^{lm}{}_i A^k_{\mu j}A_{\nu l}A_{\lambda m} \\
&+\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f^{ij}{}_k\tilde{f}_{mi}{}^l A_{\mu j\tilde{k}}A_{\nu l}A^m_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f^{jk}{}_i\tilde{f}_{lm}{}^i A_{\mu jk}A_{\nu\tilde{l}}A^m_\lambda + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f^{ij}{}_k\tilde{f}_{il}{}^m A^k_{\mu j}A_{\nu\tilde{l}}A_{\lambda m} + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f^{ij}{}_k f^{lm}{}_i A_{\mu j\tilde{k}}A^{\tilde{l}}_\nu A_{\lambda m} \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f^{jk}{}_i f^{mi}{}_l A^{\tilde{k}}_{\mu j}A_{\nu\tilde{l}}A_{\lambda m} + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f^{mi}{}_l\tilde{f}_{ki}{}^j A_{\mu j\tilde{k}}A^l_\nu A_{\lambda m} + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f^{lm}{}_i\tilde{f}_{kj}{}^i A^j_{\mu\tilde{k}}A_{\nu l}A_{\lambda m} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\tilde{f}_{jk}{}^i\tilde{f}_{mi}{}^l A_{\mu\tilde{j}\tilde{k}}A_{\nu l}A^m_\lambda \\
&+\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\tilde{f}_{ki}{}^j\tilde{f}_{lm}{}^i A_{\mu\tilde{j}\tilde{k}}A_{\nu\tilde{l}}A^m_\lambda + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\tilde{f}_{kj}{}^i\tilde{f}_{il}{}^m A^j_{\mu\tilde{k}}A_{\nu\tilde{l}}A_{\lambda m} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f^{im}{}_l\tilde{f}_{ij}{}^k A^{\tilde{k}}_{\mu\tilde{j}}A_{\nu\tilde{l}}A_{\lambda m} + \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f^{lm}{}_i\tilde{f}_{jk}{}^i A_{\mu\tilde{j}\tilde{k}}A_{\nu l}A^{\tilde{m}}_\lambda \\
&+\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f^{mi}{}_l\tilde{f}_{ki}{}^j A_{\mu j\tilde{k}}A_{\nu\tilde{l}}A^{\tilde{m}}_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\tilde{f}_{jk}{}^i\tilde{f}_{mi}{}^l A_{\mu\tilde{j}\tilde{k}}A^{\tilde{l}}_\nu A_{\lambda\tilde{m}} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\tilde{f}_{ij}{}^k\tilde{f}_{lm}{}^i A^{\tilde{k}}_{\mu\tilde{j}}A_{\nu\tilde{l}}A_{\lambda\tilde{m}} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f^{jk}{}_i\tilde{f}_{li}{}^m A^{\tilde{k}}_{\mu j}A_{\nu l}A_{\lambda m} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f^{jk}{}_i f^{mi}{}_l A_{\mu jk}A_{\nu\tilde{l}}A^{\tilde{m}}_\lambda + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f^{ij}{}_k\tilde{f}_{il}{}^m A_{\mu j\tilde{k}}A_{\nu\tilde{l}}A^{\tilde{m}}_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f^{jk}{}_i\tilde{f}_{lm}{}^i A_{\mu j\tilde{k}}A_{\nu\tilde{l}}A_{\lambda\tilde{m}},
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\,F^{\mathcal{ABC}}F^{\mathcal{EF}}{}_{\mathcal{A}}A_{\mu\mathcal{EF}}A_{\nu\mathcal{B}}A_{\lambda\mathcal{C}} = \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}[(2\tilde{f}_{ijk}f^{pk}{}_l - 3f^{pk}{}_i\tilde{f}_{pjl} - \tilde{f}_{ji}{}^p\tilde{f}_{pl}{}^k)A_{\nu\tilde{j}\tilde{i}}A_{\mu k}A^l_\lambda \\
+ \;& (3\tilde{f}_{ij}{}^p f^{kl}{}_p - 3\tilde{f}_{ijp}f^{plk})A^{\tilde{k}}_\mu A_{\nu\tilde{j}\tilde{i}}A_{\lambda l} + (3\tilde{f}_{ijp}\tilde{f}_{kl}{}^p - 3\tilde{f}_{il}{}^p\tilde{f}_{pjk} - 2\tilde{f}_{ij}{}^p\tilde{f}_{plk} + \tilde{f}_{ilp}f_{kj}{}^p)A^k_\mu A_{\nu\tilde{j}\tilde{i}}A_{\lambda l} \\
+ \;& (-2\tilde{f}_{klp}f^{jp}{}_i - \tilde{f}_{kip}f^{jp}{}_l - \tilde{f}_{ilp}f^{pj}{}_k)A_{\mu\tilde{k}}\tilde{i}A^{\tilde{j}}_\nu A_{\tilde{l}} + (f^{pl}{}_i f^{kj}{}_p - f^{jlp}\tilde{f}^k_{ip})A^{\tilde{k}}_{\mu\tilde{i}}A_{\nu j}A_{\lambda l} \\
+ \;& (-\tilde{f}_{ip}{}^k f^{pk}{}_j - f^{kp}{}_i\tilde{f}_{pj}{}^l - f^{lp}{}_i\tilde{f}_{pj}{}^k - \tilde{f}_{ijp}f^{plk})A_{\mu\tilde{i}}A_{\nu\tilde{j}}A_{\lambda l} - f^{jk}{}_i\tilde{f}_{lm}{}^i A_{\mu jk}A_{\nu\tilde{l}}A^m_\lambda \\
+ \;& (\tilde{f}_{ijp}f^{lp}{}_k - \tilde{f}_{kjp}f^{pl}{}_i - \tilde{f}_{pk}{}^l\tilde{f}_{ij}{}^p)A_{\mu\tilde{i}}A_{\nu\tilde{j}}A\tilde{k}^{\tilde{l}} + (f^{kj}{}_p f^{pi}{}_l + f^{ikp}\tilde{f}_{lp}{}^j + 2f^{ijp}\tilde{f}_{lp}{}^k)A_{\mu k}A_{\nu ji}A^l_\lambda \\
+ \;& (-3f^{ij}{}_p f^{plk} + 2f^{il}{}_p f^{jpk} + f^{pl}{}_i f^{kj}{}_p + 2f^{ijp}f^{kl}{}_p + f^{ilp}f^{kj}{}_p)A^{\tilde{k}}_\mu A_{\nu ji}A_{\lambda l} \\
+ \;& (2f^{ij}{}_p\tilde{f}_{kl}{}^p + \tilde{f}_{pl}{}^i f^{pj}{}_k + 2f^{ijp}\tilde{f}_{pkl})A_{\mu\tilde{k}}A_{\nu ji}A^l_\lambda + f^{pj}{}_i\tilde{f}_{pl}{}^k A_\mu A_{\nu j\tilde{i}}A_{\nu\tilde{l}} - 2\tilde{f}_{ki}{}^j f^{mi}{}_l A^k_{\mu j}A_{\nu\tilde{l}}A_{\lambda m} \\
+ \;& (-3\tilde{f}_{pj}{}^i f^{lkp} - 2f^{ikp}\tilde{f}_{pj}{}^l)A_{\mu ki}A_{\nu\tilde{j}}A^{\tilde{l}}_\lambda - 2\tilde{f}_{ki}{}^j f^{mi}_l A_{\mu j\tilde{k}}A_{\nu\tilde{l}}A^{\tilde{m}}_\lambda - f^{ki}{}_j f^{lm}{}_i A^k_{\mu\tilde{j}}A_{\nu l}A_{\lambda m} \\
+ \;& (f^{li}{}_p f^{pj}{}_k + f^{ljp}\tilde{f}_{kp}{}^i)A^k_{\mu i}A_{\nu j}A_{\lambda l} + (\tilde{f}_{pk}{}^l f^{ip}{}_j + f^{lp}_j\tilde{f}^i_{pk} + f^{ilp}\tilde{f}_{pjk})A^k_{\mu i}A_{\nu\tilde{j}}A_{\lambda l} \\
+ \;& (-f^{pl}{}_k\tilde{f}_{jp}{}^i - f^{il}{}_p\tilde{f}_{jk}{}^p + 2\tilde{f}_{pk}{}^i f^{pl}{}_j + 2\tilde{f}_{pj}{}^l f^{pi}{}_k)A_{\mu\tilde{k}i}A^j_\nu A_{\lambda l} + (-\tilde{f}_{ij}{}^p\tilde{f}_{lkp} + \tilde{f}_{kip}\tilde{f}_{lj}{}^p - \tilde{f}_{ki}{}^p\tilde{f}_{pjl})A_{\mu\tilde{i}}A_{\nu\tilde{j}}A^l_{\lambda\tilde{k}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ \quad (-f^{ij}{}_p f^{lp}{}_k + f^{kj}{}_p f^{lp}{}_i + 2f^{ijp}\tilde{f}_{kp}{}^l - \tilde{f}_{kjp}f^{ipl} - f^{plj}\tilde{f}_{pk}{}^i + 2\tilde{f}_{pk}{}^i f^{jpl})A_{\mu\tilde{k}i}A_{\nu j}A^{\tilde{l}}_{\lambda} \\
&+ \quad (-\tilde{f}_{kp}{}^i\tilde{f}_{jl}{}^p - 3f^{pi}{}_k\tilde{f}_{pjl} - 3\tilde{f}_{kjp}f^{ip}{}_l + f^{ip}{}_j\tilde{f}_{kpl})A_{\mu\tilde{k}i}A_{\nu\tilde{j}}A^{l}_{\lambda} + (-f^{ijp}\tilde{f}_{plk} + 2\tilde{f}_{pl}{}^j f^{ipk} - \tilde{f}_{pl}{}^i f^{pjk} \\
&- \quad 2f^{ik}{}_p f^{pj}{}_l)A^{\tilde{k}}_{\mu i}A_{\nu j}A_{\lambda\tilde{l}} + (\tilde{f}_{pj}{}^i f^{lp}{}_k + \tilde{f}_{kj}{}^p f^{li}{}_p + \tilde{f}_{kjp}f^{pil} - \tilde{f}_{kjp}f^{ipl} + 3f^{pi}{}_k\tilde{f}_{pj}{}^l)A_{\mu\tilde{k}i}A_{\nu\tilde{j}}A^{\tilde{l}}_{\lambda} \\
&+ \quad (-f^{ij}{}_p f^{plk} + f^{ijp}f^{kl}{}_p - f^{jl}{}_p f^{ipk})A^{\tilde{k}}_{i}A_{\nu j}A_{\lambda l} + f^{ji}{}_k\tilde{f}_{li}{}^m A^{\tilde{j}}_{\mu\tilde{k}}A_{\nu\tilde{l}}A_{\lambda m} - 2\tilde{f}_{kj}{}^i\tilde{f}_{mi}{}^l A^{\tilde{k}}_{\mu j}A_{\nu l}A_{\lambda\tilde{m}} \\
&+ \quad (\tilde{f}_{pj}{}^i f^{pk}{}_l + \tilde{f}^{lj}pf^{ipk} - \tilde{f}_{jlp}f^{ipk} + f^{ki}{}_p\tilde{f}_{jl}{}^p)A^{\tilde{k}}_{\mu i}A_{\nu\tilde{j}}A_{\lambda\tilde{l}} + (f^{pj}{}_i\tilde{f}_{plk} - f^{pj}{}_l\tilde{f}_{ipk} - \tilde{f}_{ilp}f^{pj}{}_k + \tilde{f}_{ik}{}^p\tilde{f}_{lp}{}^j)A^{k}_{\mu\tilde{i}}A_{\nu j}A_{\lambda\tilde{l}}].
\end{aligned}
\tag{44}
$$

Now, if we assume $A_{\mu+B} = A_{\mu\tilde{+}B} = A_{\mu B}$, $F^{AB}{}_C A_{\mu AB} \equiv C_{\mu C}$ and $F^{\mathcal{AB}}{}_{\mathcal{C}} A_{\mu\mathcal{AB}} \equiv \tilde{C}_{\mu\mathcal{C}}$[6] , then we will have the following relation from CS term on the special 3-Leibniz algebra:

$$
\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\{C_{\mu B}(\partial_\nu A^B_\lambda - \partial_\lambda A^B_\nu - [A_\nu, A_\lambda]_B) + \tilde{C}^{\mathcal{B}}_{\mu}(\partial_\nu A_{\lambda\mathcal{B}} - \partial_\lambda A_{\nu\mathcal{B}} - [A_\nu, A_\lambda]_{\mathcal{B}})\}. \tag{45}
$$

Finally, the $N = 6$ BL Lagrangian can be rewritten as follows:

$$
L = \frac{1}{2}D_\mu X^{A(I)} D^\mu X^{(I)}_A - 2g^2_{YM}C^B_\mu C^\mu_B - 2g_{YM}\tilde{C}_{\mu\mathcal{B}}D^\mu X^{(8)\mathcal{B}} + 2\,\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\,C_{\mu A}B^A_{\nu\lambda} + 2\,\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\,\tilde{C}^{\mathcal{B}}_{\mu}F_{\nu\lambda\mathcal{B}} + ..., \tag{46}
$$

the ... terms do not affect the Yang-Mills and WZW-like terms. In this way, one can reach to Yang-Mills relation with seven scalar fields by giving vacuum expectation value (VEV) to real part of one of complex fields, in this manner imaginary part of it behaves as a Goldeston mode in Higges mechanism. Now, by defining $B_{\nu\lambda A} = \partial_\nu A_{\lambda A} - \partial_\lambda A_{\nu A} - [A_\nu, A_\lambda]_A$, and $F^{\mathcal{A}}_{\nu\lambda} = \partial_\nu A^{\mathcal{A}}_\lambda - \partial_\lambda A^{\mathcal{A}}_\nu - [A_\nu, A_\lambda]^{\mathcal{A}}$ eventually and integrate $C_{\mu A}$ and $\tilde{C}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu}$ in (46) then we will obtain the following equation:

$$
L = \frac{1}{4}F_{\nu\lambda\mathcal{A}}F^{\nu\lambda\mathcal{A}} + \frac{1}{8}B_{\nu\lambda A}B^{\nu\lambda A} + ..., \tag{47}
$$

such that $F_{\nu\lambda\mathcal{A}}$ and $B_{\nu\lambda A}$ are field strength of Yang-Mills field and B-field of a string, respectively. In this way, the $N = 6$ BL model is related with a $N = (4, 4)$ supersymmetric string theory. The string propagating on a group manifold can be described by WZW action. Applying 3-Leibniz bialgebra in the BL model make clear relation between two superconformal theory (superconformality of BLG model stated in Ref. [32, 33]) and one can obtain them from each other, i.e. by having 2d $N = (4, 4)$ supersymmetric WZW model (analyzed [29]) with Lie bialgebra structure equipped to one 2-cocycle one can construct BL model with 3-Leibniz algebra structure in Manin triple and vice versa. Now, it remain to show that $B_{\nu\lambda k}$ is a B-field, for this reason we need the Born-Infeld action [34]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S &= \int d^{p+1}\zeta\sqrt{-det(\eta_{\mu\nu}h_{AB} + 2\pi\alpha' F_{\mu\nu AB})}, \\
&= \int d^{p+1}\zeta(1 + \frac{(2\pi\alpha')^2}{4}F_{\mu\nu AB}F^{\mu\nu AB} + ...),
\end{aligned}
\tag{48}
$$

which is $U(N)$ YM action and used for description of dynamic of D-branes. For propagated string in a background with $G_{\mu\nu}, A_\mu$ and $B_{\mu\nu}$ fields resulting action is DBI action [35]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S &= \int d^{p+1}\zeta\sqrt{-det(G_{\mu\nu}h_{AB} + 2\pi\alpha' F_{\mu\nu AB} + B_{\mu\nu AB})}, \\
&= \frac{1}{2}F_{\nu\lambda K}F^{\nu\lambda K} + \frac{1}{4}B_{\nu\lambda K}B^{\nu\lambda K} + ...
\end{aligned}
\tag{49}
$$

We must show that this B-field can be related to the B-field of WZW action (as in Ref. [31]), for this reason we use from Lie algebra valued fields:

$$
S_{WZW-like} = \int d^3x\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}L_\mu{}^L L_\nu{}^M L^N_\lambda \partial_\alpha X^{I\mu}\partial_\beta X^{J\nu}\partial_\gamma X^{K\lambda}Tr([T_I T_L, T_J T_M], T_K T_N), \tag{50}
$$

[6] Note that $\mathcal{A} = i, \tilde{i}$ can not be only from $\mathcal{G}$ or $\mathcal{G}^*$.

by some calculations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_{WZW-like} &= \int d^2x \ \{\frac{1}{6}\epsilon^{\beta\gamma}B_{\nu\mu}{}^{Q}\partial_\beta X^{J\nu}\partial_\gamma X^{I\mu}Tr(T_JT_IT_Q) + \frac{1}{6}\epsilon^{\alpha\gamma}B_{\nu\lambda}{}^{Q}\partial_\alpha X^{J\nu}\partial_\gamma X^{K\lambda}Tr(T_JT_KT_Q) \\ &+ \frac{1}{6}\epsilon^{\alpha\gamma}B_{\mu\lambda}{}^{Q}\partial_\alpha X^{I\mu}\partial_\gamma X^{K\lambda}Tr(T_IT_KT_Q)\} + ..., \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

where $B_{\nu\mu}{}^{Q} = L_\nu{}^{L}L_\lambda{}^{N}f_{NL}{}^{P}x^{J}f_{PJ}{}^{Q}$, $L_\mu^L X^{I\mu}T_IT_L|_{boundry} = x^LT_L|_{boundry}$.

5 Boundary conditions of BL model on Manin triple of 3-Leibniz algebra

In this section, we obtain the boundary conditions of $N = 6$ BL model with 3-Leibniz bialgebra structure, as we show for $N = 8$ BLG model but we note that in this case the number of supersymmetry is decreased and structure constants is not totally antisymmetric. For this purpose, we must be careful in decreasing the number of supersymmetries. Normal component of supercurrent to boundary direction must be discarded for preserving maximum unbroken supersymmetry, i.e. we obtain the supercurrent and reset to zero normal component of it. For this purpose we will need an other presentation of BL model which have been applied by Bagger and Lambert in Ref. [16]. They have shown that $f^{ab\bar{c}\bar{d}}$ sets up a Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ and it can be in the following form:

$$\mathcal{G} = \otimes_\lambda \mathcal{G}_\lambda \tag{52}$$

such that $\mathcal{G}_\lambda$s are commuting Lie algebras and this allows one to rewrite the Lagrangian (33) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} L &= -Tr(D^\mu\bar{Z}_A, D_\mu Z^A) - iTr(\bar{\psi}^A, \gamma^\mu D_\mu\psi_A) - V + L_{CS} \\ &- iTr(\bar{\psi}^A, [\psi_A, Z^B; \bar{Z}_B]) + 2iTr(\bar{\psi}^A, [\psi_B, Z^B; \bar{Z}_A]) \\ &+ \frac{i}{2}\varepsilon_{ABCD}Tr(\bar{\psi}^A, [Z^C, Z^D; \psi^B]) - \frac{i}{2}\varepsilon^{ABCD}Tr(\bar{Z}_D, [\bar{\psi}_A, \psi_B; \bar{Z}_C]), \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

with

$$V = \frac{2}{3}Tr(\Upsilon_B^{CD}, \bar{\Upsilon}_{CD}^B), \tag{54}$$

and

$$\Upsilon_B^{CD} = [Z^C, Z^D; \bar{Z}_B] - \frac{1}{2}\delta_B^C[Z^E, Z^D; \bar{Z}_E] + \frac{1}{2}\delta_B^D[Z^E, Z^C; \bar{Z}_E]. \tag{55}$$

and fermion supersymmetry transformations are redressed in the following form as shown in [22]:

$$\delta\psi_A = -\Gamma^I_{AB}\gamma^\mu D_\mu Z^B\varepsilon^I - N^I_A\varepsilon^I, \tag{56}$$

$$\delta\bar{\psi}^A = -\tilde{\Gamma}^{IAB}\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma^\mu D_\mu\bar{Z}_B - N^{IA}\varepsilon^I, \tag{57}$$

$$\delta\psi^A = \tilde{\Gamma}^{IAB}\gamma^\mu D_\mu\bar{Z}_B\varepsilon^I - N^{IA}\varepsilon^I, \tag{58}$$

$$\delta\bar{\psi}_A = \Gamma^I_{AB}\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma^\mu D_\mu Z^B - N^I_A\bar{\varepsilon}^I, \tag{59}$$

with

$$N^I_A = \Gamma^I_{AB}[Z^C, Z^B; \bar{Z}_C] - \Gamma^I_{CD}[Z^C, Z^D; \bar{Z}_A], \tag{60}$$

$$N^{IA} = \tilde{\Gamma}^{IAB}[\bar{Z}_C, \bar{Z}_B; Z^C] - \tilde{\Gamma}^{ICD}[\bar{Z}_C, \bar{Z}_D; Z^A], \tag{61}$$

where 3-bracket is $[X, Y; Z] = \lambda(XZ^\dagger Y - YZ^\dagger X)$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}^{IAB} = -(\Gamma^I_{AB})^*$ with $\Gamma_{IAB}\tilde{\Gamma}^{IBC} = 2\delta^{IJ}\delta^C_A$. In this way, the supercurrent is given as follows:

$$J_\mu = \bar{\varepsilon}^I J^I_\mu = Tr(\delta\bar{\psi}_A\gamma_\mu, \psi^A) + Tr(\delta\bar{\psi}^A\gamma_\mu, \psi_A). \tag{62}$$

We will use similar work which was done for boundary conditions of $N = 8$ BLG theory [30] for attainment of boundary conditions and vanishing the normal component of supercurrent:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= Tr(\Gamma^I_{AB}\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma^\mu D_\mu Z^B - (\Gamma^I_{AB}[Z^C, Z^B; \bar{Z}_C] - \Gamma^I_{CD}[Z^C, Z^D; \bar{Z}_A])\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma_2, \psi^A) \\ &+ Tr(-\tilde{\Gamma}^{IAB}\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma^\mu D_\mu\bar{Z}_B - (\tilde{\Gamma}^{IAB}[\bar{Z}_C, \bar{Z}_B; Z^C] - \tilde{\Gamma}^{ICD}[\bar{Z}_C, \bar{Z}_D; Z^A])\varepsilon^I\gamma_2, \psi_A), \end{aligned}$$

where it can be rewriten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Gamma^I_{AB}\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma^\mu D_\mu Z^B\gamma_2\psi^A - \Gamma^I_{AB}[Z^C,Z^B;\bar{Z}_C]\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma_2\psi^A - \Gamma^I_{CD}[Z^C,Z^D;\bar{Z}_A]\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma_2\psi^A \\ &- \tilde{\Gamma}^{IAB}\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma^\mu D_\mu\bar{Z}_B\gamma_2\psi_A - \tilde{\Gamma}^{IAB}[\bar{Z}_C,\bar{Z}_B;Z^C]\varepsilon^I\gamma_2\psi_A - \tilde{\Gamma}^{ICD}[\bar{Z}_C,\bar{Z}_D;Z^A]\varepsilon^I\gamma_2\psi_A, \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

but there is a difference, in this case have $SU(4)$ as Lorentzian symmetry then must be caution for decomposition to two group, for this purpose one way is $SO(1,1)\times SU(2)\times SU(2)\subset SO(1,2)\times SU(4)$ that decompose 4 complex scalar field into $X^A = Z^1, Z^2$ and $Y^P = Z^2, Z^3$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Gamma^I_{AB}\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}D_{\hat{\mu}}X^B\gamma_2\psi^A \\ &- \tilde{\Gamma}^{IPB}\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}D_{\hat{\mu}}\bar{X}_B\gamma_2\psi_P \\ &+ \Gamma^I_{PQ}\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}D_{\hat{\mu}}Y^Q\gamma_2\psi^P \\ &- \tilde{\Gamma}^{IAQ}\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}D_{\hat{\mu}}\bar{Y}_Q\gamma_2\psi_A \\ &+ \Gamma^I_{AB}\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma^2 D_2X^B\gamma_2\psi^A - \Gamma^I_{AB}[X^C,X^B;\bar{X}_C]\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma_2\psi^A - \Gamma^I_{CD}[X^C,X^D;\bar{X}_A]\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma_2\psi^A \\ &+ \Gamma^I_{PB}\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma^2 D_2X^B\gamma_2\psi^P - \Gamma^I_{PB}[X^C,X^B;\bar{X}_C]\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma_2\psi^P \\ &+ \Gamma^I_{PQ}\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma^2 D_2Y^Q\gamma_2\psi^P - \Gamma^I_{PQ}[Y^R,Y^Q;\bar{Y}_R]\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma_2\psi^P - \Gamma^I_{QR}[Y^Q,Y^R;\bar{Y}_P]\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma_2\psi^P \\ &+ \Gamma^I_{AQ}\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma^2 D_2Y^Q\gamma_2\psi^A - \Gamma^I_{AQ}[Y^R,Y^Q;\bar{Y}_R]\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma_2\psi^A \\ &- \Gamma^I_{QB}[Y^P,X^B;\bar{Y}_P]\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma_2\psi^Q - \Gamma^I_{CQ}[X^C,Y^Q;\bar{Y}_P]\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma_2\psi^P - \Gamma^I_{AB}[Y^P,X^B;\bar{Y}_P]\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma_2\psi^A \\ &- \Gamma^I_{PQ}[X^B,Y^P;\bar{X}_B]\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma_2\psi^Q - \Gamma^I_{AP}[X^C,Y^P;\bar{X}_C]\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma_2\psi^A - \Gamma^I_{PQ}[Y^P,Y^Q;\bar{X}_A]\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma_2\psi^A \\ &- \Gamma^I_{CD}[X^C,X^D;\bar{Y}_P]\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma_2\psi^P \\ &- \Gamma^I_{CQ}[X^C,Y^Q;\bar{X}_A]\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma_2\psi^A \\ &- \tilde{\Gamma}^{IQP}[\bar{X}_C,\bar{Y}_P;X^C]\varepsilon^I\gamma_2\psi_Q \\ &- \tilde{\Gamma}^{IPB}[\bar{Y}_P,\bar{X}_B;Y^P]\varepsilon^I\gamma_2\psi_P, \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

where $\tilde{\Gamma}_2\psi_a = \tilde{\psi}_a$. Each row individually has different Lorentzian symmetry that are taken zero and solved by considering different type of boundary conditions, but we will investigate the case where half of scalar fields satisfy Dirichlet boundary conditions here for our purpose.

5.1 Half Dirichlet conditions

We assume that we deal with Dirichlet conditions for half of scalar fields i.e. $D_{\hat{\mu}}Y = 0$ and simplest solution for it i.e. $Y = 0$, maintain equations of (64) will be:

$$0 = \Gamma^I_{AB}\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}D_{\hat{\mu}}X^B\gamma_2\psi^A \tag{65}$$

$$0 = \tilde{\Gamma}^{IPB}\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}D_{\hat{\mu}}\bar{X}_B\gamma_2\psi_P \tag{66}$$

$$0 = \Gamma^I_{PQ}\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}D_{\hat{\mu}}Y^Q\gamma_2\psi^P \tag{67}$$

$$0 = \tilde{\Gamma}^{IAQ}\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}D_{\hat{\mu}}\bar{Y}_Q\gamma_2\psi_A \tag{68}$$

$$0 = \Gamma^I_{AB}\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma^2 D_2X^B\gamma_2\psi^A - \Gamma^I_{AB}[X^C,X^B;\bar{X}_C]\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma_2\psi^A - \Gamma^I_{CD}[X^C,X^D;\bar{X}_A]\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma_2\psi^A \tag{69}$$

$$0 = \Gamma^I_{PB}\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma^2 D_2X^B\gamma_2\psi^P - \Gamma^I_{PB}[X^C,X^B;\bar{X}_C]\bar{\varepsilon}^I\gamma_2\psi^P, \tag{70}$$

independent of Γ relation (70)

$$0 = D_2X^B - \kappa[X^C,X^B;\bar{X}_C],$$

where $\kappa = -\frac{1}{6}$ and this is Basu-Harvey equation on Drinfeld double algebra $\mathcal{D}$ as

$$0 = D_2X^I_A + \frac{1}{6}\epsilon^{IJKL}X^J_BX^K_CX^L_DF^{BCD}{}_A, \tag{71}$$

where $F^{ABC}{}_D$ is the structure constant of the Drinfeld double 3-Leibniz algebra $\mathcal{D}$. In the previous sections we give an special example of 3-Leibniz bialgebra related to Lie bialgebra. Now, we apply this example and use

from commutation relations mentioned in (36), then the Basu-Harvey equation have the following form:

$$0 = D_2 X^A_{-} + \frac{1}{6}\epsilon^{ABCD} X^B_b X^C_c X^D_d F^{bcd}{}_{-}, \tag{72}$$

$$0 = D_2 X^A_{\tilde{-}} + \frac{1}{6}\epsilon^{ABCD} X^B_b X^C_c X^D_d F^{bcd}{}_{\tilde{-}}, \tag{73}$$

$$0 = D_2 X^A_i + \frac{1}{6}\epsilon^{ABCD} X^B_b X^C_c X^D_d F^{bcd}{}_i \tag{74}$$

$$0 = D_2 X^A_i + \frac{1}{2} g_{YM}\epsilon^{ACD} X^C_j X^D_k f^{jk}{}_i + \frac{1}{2} g_{YM}\epsilon^{ACD} X^{C\tilde{j}} X^D_k \tilde{f}^k{}_{ij}, \tag{75}$$

and

$$0 = D_2 X^A_{\tilde{i}} + \frac{1}{6}\epsilon^{ABCD} X^B_b X^C_c X^D_d F^{bcd}{}_{\tilde{i}} \tag{76}$$

$$0 = D_2 X^A_{\tilde{i}} + \frac{1}{2} g_{YM}\epsilon^{ACD} X^{C\tilde{j}} X^D \tilde{k} \tilde{f}_{jk}{}^{\tilde{i}} + \frac{1}{2} g_{YM}\epsilon^{ACD} X^{C\tilde{j}} X^D_k \tilde{f}^k{}_{\tilde{i}j}. \tag{77}$$

where $a, b, c = -, +, i, \tilde{-}, \tilde{+}, \tilde{i}$ and i, j, k for the basis of the Lie algebra. Now, we consider Nahm equation which can be obtained as boundary conditions of D1-branes [36] in the Lie bialgebra representation and we have

$$\partial_\sigma X^I_A = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{JKI} X^J_B X^K_C F^{BC}_A \tag{78}$$

$$\partial_\sigma X^A_i = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ABC} X^B_j X^C_k f^{jk}_i + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ABC} X^{B\tilde{J}} X^C_k \tilde{f}^k_{i\tilde{j}}, \tag{79}$$

where $A, B, C = i, \tilde{i}$ and $F^{AB}{}_C$ is the structure constant for $\mathcal{D}$ which is the Drinfeld double Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$. In this way we show that BL model for multiple membranes and Basu-Harvey equation as boundary conditions of it have relation with Nahm equation [36] and vice versa. Consider the Yang-Mills action as obtained by expansion of DBI action which is a result of D1-string action that end to D3-brane, although there is relation between string action and 2d sigma model and $N = (4, 4)$ supersymmetric WZW model which have Lie bialgebra structure and equipped with one 2-cocycle [29] then there is relation between DBI and WZW actions. By considering boundary conditions for DBI action and obtaining Nahm equation of it [36] and according to the obtained relation between Nahm and Basu-Harvey equations, there is relation between M2 and D2 actions and vice versa. BL model which is a model for membrane have been derived from supergravity action which is low energy limit of M-theory, by considering these relations there is relation between M theory and string theory and vice versa.

Conclusions

We have constructed $N = 6$ Chern-Simons gauge theory (BL model) on a special Manin triple $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*)$ as a 3-Leibniz bialgebra. Then using the correspondence between 3-Leibniz bialgebra $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*)$ and Lie bialgebra $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^*)$ we have shown that there is a relation between $N = 6$ BL model with 3-Leibniz algebra structure and $N = (4, 4)$ WZW model with Lie bialgebra structure and by considering boundary conditions for BL model on Manin triple the Basu-Harvey equation reduce to Nahm equation.
Conversely; beginning with a Lie bialgebra with one 2-cocycle and constructing $N = (4, 4)$ supersymmetric WZW action and following that D2-brane action by helping DBI-action and according to relation between Lie bialgebra and 3-Leibniz bialgebra one can construct M2-model on the special 3-Leibniz algebra; also by considering Nahm equation as the boundary conditions of D1-string ending to D3-brane and the following relation with DBI action one can reach to Basu-Harvey equation.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank M. Akbari-Moghanjoughi for carefully reading the manuscript. This research was supported by a research fund No. 217D4310 of Azarbaijan Shahid Madani university.

References

[1] J. Polchinski, String theory. Vol. 2: Superstring theory and beyond.

[2] E. Cremmer, B. Julia, J. Scherk, *"Supergravity Theory in Eleven-Dimensions"* , Phys.Lett. B76 (1978) 409.

[3] E. Bergshoeff, E. Sezgin, P. Townsend, *"Supermembranes and Eleven-Dimensional Supergravity"*, Phys.Lett. B189 (1987) 75; M. Duff, T. Inami, C. Pope, E. Sezgin, K. Stelle, *"Semiclassical Quantization of the Supermembrane"*, Nucl.Phys. B297 (1988) 515.P. K. Townsend, *"D-branes from M-branes"*, Phys. Lett. B373 (1996) 68. [hep-th/9512062]; C. Schmidhuber, *"D-brane actions"*, Nucl.Phys. B467 (1996) 146. [hep-th/9601003].

[4] P. S. Howe, N. D. Lambert, P. C. West, *"The self-dual string soliton"*, Nucl. Phys. B515 (1998) 203216. [hep-th/9709014].

[5] C. S. Chu and E. Sezgin, *"M-five brane from the open supermembrane"* , JHEP 9712, 001 (1997) [hep-th/9710223]; P. Horava and E.Witten, *"Eleven-Dimensional Supergravity on a Manifold with Boundary"* Nucl. Phys. B 475 (1996) 94 [hep-th/9603142]; M. Cederwall, *"Boundaries of 11-dimensional membranes"*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 12 (1997) 2641 [hep-th/9704161].

[6] J. Bagger, N. Lambert,*" Modeling multiple M2s"*, Phys. Rev. **D**75 (2007) 045020, arXiv:hep-th/0611108.

[7] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, *"Gauge symmetry and supersymmetry of multiple M2-branes"*, Phys. Rev. **D**77 (2008) 065008, arXiv:0711.0955 [hep-th].

[8] J. Bagger, N. Lambert, *"Comments On Multiple M2-branes"*, JHEP **02** (2008) 105, arXiv:0712.3738 [hep-th].

[9] A. Gustavsson, *"Algebraic structures on parallel M2-branes"*, Nucl. Phys. **B**811 (2009) 66, arXiv:0709.1260 [hep-th].

[10] M. Van Raamsdonk, *"Comments on the Bagger-Lambert theory and multiple M2- branes"*, JHEP 05 (2008) 105. arXiv:0803.3803 [hep-th].

[11] E. A. Bergshoeff, M. de Roo, O. Hohm, D. Roest, *"Multiple Membranes from Gauged Supergravity"*, JHEP 0808 (2008) 091. arXiv:0806.2584; J. P. Gauntlett, J. B. Gutowski, *"Constraining Maximally Supersymmetric Membrane Actions"*, JHEP 06 (2008) 053, arXiv:0804.3078[hep-th].

[12] A. Basu, J. A. Harvey, *"The M2-M5 brane system and a generalized Nahms equation"*, Nucl. Phys. **B**713 (2005) 136, [hep-th/0412310].

[13] E. Witten,*"Bound States Of Strings And p-Branes"* Nucl.Phys. B460:335 (1996), [hep-th/9510135].

[14] J. Bagger, N. Lambert, S. Mukhid, C. Papageorgakis, *"Membranes in M-theory"*, arXiv:1203.3546v1 [hep-th]

[15] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D. L. Jafferis, J. Maldacena, *"N=6 superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theories, M2-branes and their gravity duals"*, JHEP **10** (2008) 091, arXiv:0806.1218 [hep-th].

[16] J. Bagger, N. Lambert,*"Three-Algebras and N=6 Chern-Simons Gauge Theories"* Phys. Rev. D79:025002 (2009), arXiv:0807.0163 [hep-th].

[17] N. Lambert and C. Papageorgakis, *"Relating U(N) × U(N) to SU(N) × SU(N) Chern-Simons Membrane theories"*,JHEP 1004:104,2010, arXiv:1001.4779v3 [hep-th].

[18] P-M Ho, Y. Imamura, Y. Matsuo, *"M2 to D2 revisited"*, JHEP **07** (2008) 003, arXiv:0805.1202 [hep-th]; J. Gomis, G. Milanesi, J. G. Russo,*" Bagger-Lambert Theory for General Lie Algebras"*, JHEP **06** (2008) 075, arXiv:0805.1012[hep-th]; Y. Pang, T. Wang, *"From N M2s to N D2s"*, Phys. Rev. **D**78 (2008) 125007, arXiv:0807.1444 [hep-th]; T. Li, Y. Liu, D. Xie,*" Multiple D2-Brane Action from M2-Branes"*, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A**24 (2009) 3039, arXiv:0807.1183[hep-th];

[19] B. Ezhuthachan, S. Mukhi and C. Papageorgakis *"The Power of the Higgs Mechanism Higher-Derivative BLG Theories"*, JHEP **101**(2009) 0904, arXiv:0903.0003 [hep-th].

[20] D. S. Berman, M. J.Perry, E. Sezgin, D. C. Thompson, *"Boundary Conditions for Interacting Membranes"* JHEP 1004:025,20, arXiv:0912.3504 [hep-th].

[21] F. Passerini, *"M2-Brane Superalgebra from Bagger-Lambert Theory"*, arXiv:0806.0363v1[hep-th].

[22] A. M. Low, *" N = 6 Membrane Worldvolume Superalgebra"* , JHEP 0904:105,2009 , arXiv:0903.0988 [hep-th].

[23] W. Nahm, A Simple Formalism for the BPS Monopole, Phys. Lett. B90 (1980) 413.

[24] A. Rezaei-Aghdam, L. Sedghi-Ghadim, *"3-Leibniz bialgebras (3-Lie bialgebras)"*, arXiv:1604.04475v1 [math.RA].

[25] Y. K. Schwarzbach, *"Lie bialgebras, Poisson-Lie groups and dressing transformations, Integrability of non-linear systems, second edition"*, Lecture notes in physics 038, Springer-Verlag (2004)107.

[26] A.M. Blokh, *"On a generalization of the concept of a Lie algebra"* , Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 165(1965)471.

[27] J. L. Loday, *"Une version non commutative des algebres de Lie: les algebres de Leibniz"*, Enseign Math. 39(1993)269.

[28] A. Rezaei-Aghdam, GH. Haghighatdoost, L. Sedghi-Ghadim, *" Leibniz bialgebras"* , arXiv:1401.6845v4 [math-ph].

[29] M.Aali-Javanangrouh, A. Rezaei-Aghdam, *" Algebraic Structures of N=(4,4) and N=(8,8) SUSY Sigma Models on Lie groups and SUSY WZW Models"* , arXiv:1402.5600v2 [hep-th].

[30] M.Aali-Javanangrouh, A. Rezaei-Aghdam, *"From Basu-Harvey to Nahm equation via 3-Lie bialgebra"*, arXiv:1604.05181 [hep-th].

[31] M.Aali-Javanangrouh, A. Rezaei-Aghdam, *"M2 to D2 and vice versa by 3-Lie and Lie bialgebra"*, arXiv:1604.05183 [hep-th].

[32] J. F. O'Farrill, *"Three lectures on 3-algebras"*, EMPG-08-23, arXiv:0812.2865 [hep-th]; J. F. O'Farrill, *"Metric 3-Lie algebras for unitary Bagger-Lambert theories"*, JHEP 0904:037,2009, arXiv:0902.4674 [hep-th].

[33] M. A. Bandres, A. E. Lipstein, J. H. Schwarz, *"N = 8 Superconformal Chern-Simons Theories"*, JHEP 0805:025,2008, arXiv:0803.3242 [hep-th].

[34] R.G. Leigh, *"Dirac-Born-Infeld action from the Dirichlet sigma model"*, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A** 4 (1989) 2767.

[35] D. Tong, "*Lectures on String Theory* ",February 2012; J. Polchinski," *TASI lectures on D-branes"*, arXiv:hep-th/9908144.

[36] C.S Chu, D.J. Smith, *"Towards the Quantum Geometry of the M5-brane in a Constant C-Field from Multiple Membranes"*, JHEP 0904:097,2009, arXiv:0901.1847 [hep-th].